Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/493,756	CARMICHAEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL A. HESS	2876
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>DANIEL A. HESS</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Scott Harris.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2009</u>	Time: <u>n/a</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-46 and a proposed new set		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Daniel A Hess/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2876		
	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Hess, in reviewing the very substantial prosecution history including all of the claims and all rejections made by the examiner previously assigned to the case, determined that certain subject matter which appeared in several claims is not shown in the prior art and that a clear case for obviousness could not be made.

A replacement claim set was agreed upon between the examiner and the applicant's representative which capture this allowable subject matter while cancelling all other claims. Details can be seen in the accompanying action.